Investigation of gravity waves in the troposphere and stratosphere based on radiosonde observations at Lauder (45 °S 169 °E) during DEEPWAVE-NZ

- 1 S. Gisinger, A. Dörnbrack, B. Ehard, B. Kaifler, N. Kaifler,
- 1,2 M. Rapp, 2 M. Garhammer
- 1,3 M. Bramberger, T. Portele, M. Siller
- 1 Institut für Physik der Atmosphäre DLR
- 2 Meteorologisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität
- 3 Institute of Atmospheric and Cryospheric Sciences, University of Innsbruck



MU



altitude profiles

DEEPAVE LAUDER soundings

98 soundings during14 intensive observationperiods (IOPs)



perturbation profiles*

measured profile minus background fit (2nd-polynomial-fit with additional 5-km running mean)



Assumption: perturbations are caused by GWs

• perturbations of different variables are sensitive to different parts of the GW spectrum (Lane et al 2000, Lane et al 2003, Geller and Gong 2010)





• perturbations of different variables are sensitive to different parts of the GW spectrum (Lane et al 2000, Lane et al 2003, Geller and Gong 2010)

$$\frac{\uparrow m^2}{\sqrt{k^2 + l^2}} = \frac{N^2 - \Omega^2}{\Omega^2 - f^2}$$
 amplitude horizontal wind

$$A_u = \frac{-lA_v + mA_w}{k} \uparrow$$

$$A_w = \frac{-kA_u + lA_v}{m} \checkmark$$

→ measurements of hz. wind emphasize low frequency waves (inertia-GWs)

• Gravity wave energies (Geller and Gong 2010)

- **kinetic energy**: $\langle KE_{mass} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [\langle u'^2 + v'^2 \rangle]$ low frequency/inertia-GWs

• perturbations of different variables are sensitive to different parts of the GW spectrum (Lane et al 2000, Lane et al 2003, Geller and Gong 2010)

$$\blacktriangleright \quad \Omega \to N: \ m \downarrow, k \uparrow, |A_w| \uparrow$$

→ measurements of vert. wind emphasize medium to high frequency waves

• Gravity wave energies (Geller and Gong 2010)

- **vertical energy**:
$$\langle VE_{mass} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle w'^2 \rangle$$
 high frequency GWs

• perturbations of different variables are sensitive to different parts of the GW spectrum (Lane et al 2000, Lane et al 2003, Geller and Gong 2010)

$$\frac{m^2}{k^2+l^2} = \frac{N^2 - \Omega^2}{\Omega^2 - f^2}$$

amplitude horizontal wind

$$A_{u} = \frac{-lA_{v} + mA_{w}}{k}$$

$$A_{w} = \frac{-kA_{u} + lA_{v}}{m}$$

$$\begin{split} & \searrow \quad \Omega \to f \colon m \uparrow, k \downarrow, |A_u| \uparrow \\ & \searrow \quad \Omega \to N \colon m \downarrow, k \uparrow, |A_w| \uparrow \\ \end{split}$$

- → measurements of hz. wind emphasize low frequency waves (inertia-GWs)
- → measurements of vert. wind emphasize medium to high frequency waves
- Gravity wave energies (Geller and Gong 2010)

– kinetic energy:	$\langle KE_{mass} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} [\langle u'^2 + v'^2 \rangle]$	low frequency/inertia-GWs
– potential energy:	$\langle PE_{mass} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \frac{g^2}{N^2} \left\langle \frac{T'^2}{T_b^2} \right\rangle$	mixed
– vertical energy:	$\langle VE_{mass} \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \langle w'^2 \rangle$	high frequency GWs
1		

When can soundings be treated as vertical profiles?

- drift of the balloon is small enough compared to wave scales
- ascent of the balloon is fast enough compared to wave scales and wave frequency



k, l, m ... wavenumbers <u>U</u>, V ... hz. background wind \overline{W}_B ... mean balloon ascent rate ω ... frequency

> (Gardener and Gardener 1993, Reeder et al. 1999, Lane et al. 2003)

Iow frequency/inertia-GWs, e.g. when horizontal velocity perturbations are analyzed

X for medium and high frequency GWs, e.g. when vertical velocity/balloon ascent rate is analyzed (horizontal projection method, Shutts et al 1988, Lane et al 2000, Reeder et al 1999)

When can soundings be treated as vertical profiles?

- drift of the balloon is small enough compared to wave scales
- ascent of the balloon is fast enough compared to wave scales and wave frequency



k, l, m ... wavenumbers U, V ... hz. background wind \overline{W}_B ... mean balloon ascent rate ω ... frequency

> (Gardener and Gardener 1993, Reeder et al. 1999, Lane et al. 2003)

low frequency/inertia-GWs, e.g. when horizontal velocity perturbations are analyzed this talk

X for medium and high frequency GWs, e.g. when vertical velocity/balloon ascent rate is analyzed (horizontal projection method, Shutts et al 1988, Lane et al 2000, Reeder et al 1999)

horizontal velocity perturbations

- treat sounding as vertical profile
- **derive wave properties** (e.g., Allen and Vincent 1995, Vincent et al. 1997, Murphy et al 2014)



basic idea based on relationship of **u' and v'** (e.g. hodograph)

- sense of rotation gives upward/downward propagation
- orientation of major axis gives propagation direction
- axial ratio gives frequency

contained in methods of rotary spectra, stokes analysis

intrinsic frequency (Ω/f) from Stokes analysis



- data (u', v') going into Stokes analysis mainly contain inertia-GWs
- determined intrinsic frequencies more variable in troposphere





vertical propagation direction

ratio (R) of upward and downward propagation from rotary spectra (u'+iv')

$$R = \frac{\overline{(\text{power x m})_{up}}}{\overline{(\text{power x m})_{up}} + \overline{(\text{power x m})_{down}}}$$

R>0.6 significant upward energy propagation, R<0.4 significant downward energy propagation



- dominant upward propagation in the stratosphere (source lies below → troposphere, tropopause)
- no dominant propagation direction found for troposphere

Correlation between vertical propagation direction and GW energy



 – low correlation between enhanced KE and PE and upward propagation (0.6 or smaller, in agreement with Guest et al. 2000)

→even if **KE** and **PE are high**, **R** would be **close to 0.5**...

... if upward- and downward-propagating waves are present

... if wave frequencies are large compared to inertial frequency f (medium to high frequency waves)



Inertia-GWs: summary of properties from soundings

- GW energy is variable for different events (values distributed around 10 J/kg)
- GW energy varies during IOPs
- vertical propagation direction in troposphere not clear
 → upward and downward propagating waves and/or higher freq. waves
- not necessarily only upward propagating waves if KE and PE are enhanced
- **dominant upward** propagation in the **stratosphere**
 - \rightarrow source in troposphere/tropopause

(not shown)

- dominant vertical wavelength 2-4 km, horizontal wavelength 50-800 km
- ground based horizontal phase propagation mainly eastward with mean 10 m/s
 → source west of Lauder



Inertia-GWs: summary of properties from soundings

- GW energy is variable for different events (values distributed around 10 J/kg)
- GW energy varies during IOPs
- vertical propagation direction in troposphere not clear
 → upward and downward propagating waves and/or higher freq. waves
- not necessarily only upward propagating waves if KE and PE are enhanced
- **dominant upward** propagation in the **stratosphere**
 - \rightarrow source in troposphere/tropopause

(not shown)

- dominant vertical wavelength 2-4 km, horizontal wavelength 50-800 km
- ground based horizontal phase propagation mainly eastward with mean 10 m/s

→ source west of Lauder Possible sources?



Known major sources for Inertia-GWS (e.g., Spiga et al. 2008)

• large amplitude mountain waves: adjustment of large-scale flow due to high amplitude and eventually breaking of the main mountain wave



Known major sources for Inertia-GWs



Known major sources for Inertia-GWs



mountains west of Lauder

- radiosondes were released when mountain waves were expected
 → cross mountain flow
- vertical energy based on balloon ascent rate to quantify mountain wave activity









supported by WMO travel award