Validation of the gravity waves in ECMWF analyses using balloon observations and interannual variability of the gravity wave momentum fluxes

> Valérian Jewtoukoff, Albert Hertzog, Riwal Plougonven, Théophile Allard, Alvaro de la Camara, François Lott

> > SPARC GW Symposium, 2016

Motivation

- ECMWF operational analyses now have highresolution
- How well are the GWs resolved in the ECMWF?
- How about ERAI?
- Interannual variability of the GWMF in ERAI?

Outline

Data

Validation of ECMWF products

Interannual variability of the GWMF

Conclusion

ECMWF operational analyses (EOA)

- T1279 \rightarrow 0.125° horizontal grid spacing
- 91 model levels from surface up to 0.01 hPa
- Available at 4 times/day
- Satellite and conventional observations assimilated with 4DVar

ERAI reanalyses

• Similar to operational analyses

but...

- Frozen version of the model and +35 years of data
- T255 \rightarrow 0.75° horizontal grid spacing
- 60 model levels from surface up to 0.1 hPa

Concordiasi (Rabier et al 2010)



- Relatively good spatial covering
- Well adapted for GW
- Use for validation

Outline

Data

Validation of ECMWF products

Interannual variability of the GWMF

Conclusion

EOA momentum flux (Jewtoukoff et al 2015)

EOA 0.125°

EOA balloon sampled



EOA 2.5°

Concordiasi

EOA vs Concordiasi

- Good geographical (+seasonal) agreement, but factor 5 in amplitude
- Higher contrast between Plateau and the rest in EOA due to filtering method

Good overall agreement + truncation factor

ERAI vs EOA (Oct-Dec 2010)



ERAI vs EOA

Good overall agreement + truncation factor

 Can be used to study the interannual variability of the GWMF

Outline

Data

Validation of ECMWF products

Interannual variability of the GWMF

Conclusion

1979-2010 GWMF Northern Hemisphere



1979-2010 GWMF Southern Hemisphere



1979-2010 normalized STD Northern Hemisphere





1979-2010 normalized STD Southern Hemisphere



GWMF filtered times series at 70hPa



Outline

Data

Validation of ECMWF products

Interannual variability of the GWMF

Conclusion

Conclusions

Jewtoukoff et al 2015, JAS

- ECMWF products can be used to study the spatial, seasonal and interannual variability of GWMF
- Truncation factor on the amplitude

Conclusions

- STD: 2 configurations: winter: orography+storm tracks summer: convection/monsoon +stratospheric winds
- STD decreases with altitude
- Limitations of ERAI: probable changes in assimilated observations after 2000



Truncation factor



Factor 5 between EOA and Concordiasi: resolution explains a factor 3
Factor 8 between ERAI and EOA: 5